

June 19, 2021

Via email

Hi Kristen,

I'd like to respond to Michael Haveson's comments last night about FirstNet and the need for a quick and immediate response when health emergencies occur.

It's interesting that AT&T can use public health and safety concerns to support the Hopkins Hill tower proposal, but opponents of the AT&T plan are not allowed to cite public health and safety concerns (even though thousands of peer-reviewed scientific studies report biological effects from exposure to non-thermal, non-ionizing RF radiation).

It's funny how some health and safety concerns can be discussed at public meetings and others cannot. Where's the fairness in that?

Will you please forward this message to the Planning Commission?

Sincerely,

Jim Kelty